

Tim Oldham

Subject: FW: Jersey Post

-----Original Message-----

From: Melanie McEnery [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 August 2010 18:12
To: Scrutiny
Subject: Jersey Post

This e-mail has been received directly from the Internet: you should exercise a degree of caution since there can be no guarantee that the source or content of the message is authentic.

If you receive inappropriate e-mail from an external source it is your responsibility to notify Computer Services Helpdesk (telephone 440440).

The Full States e-mail Usage Policy can be found here:
http://intranet1/aware/internet_email_issues.htm

Further to your advert in the JEP I am emailing to share my view on the future of Jersey Post. I feel strongly that the service Jersey Post currently provides should be maintained. If by allowing more competition into the postal services market this is going to impact on Jersey Posts ability to deliver the less cost effective services then competition should be refused. Jersey Post is first and foremost a public service provider owned by us the tax payer. I do not want to only be able to receive my mail 3 or 5 days a week. I acknowledge that Jersey Post must make efficiencies however, I find it incredulous that it is proposing to make postman redundant, many of whom have been there 20 plus years, and employ back the same number of new staff on lower wages to facilitate cost saving at all cost. This will in my opinion be counterproductive as these men and women will inevitably struggle to find alternative employment and instead of contributing to the economy by paying tax and social security, will instead be claiming income support.

Please allow Jersey Post to maintain its monopoly for the time being and rally behind this States owned organisation to allow it to maintain its current level of service and moreover prevent it from undertaking a compulsory redundancy scheme which is at best ill judged and arguable unethical.

Melanie McEnery